PANORAMIC

CONSTRUCTION

USA



Construction

Contributing Editors

Michael S Zicherman, Jerry P Brodsky and Denis Serkin

Peckar & Abramson PC

Generated on: July 24, 2024

The information contained in this report is indicative only. Law Business Research is not responsible for any actions (or lack thereof) taken as a result of relying on or in any way using information contained in this report and in no event shall be liable for any damages resulting from reliance on or use of this information. Copyright 2006 - 2024 Law Business Research

Contents

Construction

LOCAL MARKET

Foreign pursuit of the local market

REGULATION AND COMPLIANCE

Licensing procedures

Competition

Competition protections

Bribery

Reporting bribery

Political contributions

Compliance

Other international legal considerations

CONTRACTS AND INSURANCE

Construction contracts

Payment methods

Contractual matrix of international projects

PPP and PFI

Joint ventures

Tort claims and indemnity

Liability to third parties

Insurance

LABOUR AND CLOSURE OF OPERATIONS

Labour requirements

Local labour law

Labour and human rights

Close of operations

PAYMENT

Payment rights

'Pay if paid' and 'pay when paid'

Contracting with government entities

Statutory payment protection

FORCE MAJEURE

Force majeure and acts of God

DISPUTES

Courts and tribunals Dispute review boards

Mediation

Confidentiality in mediation

Arbitration of private disputes

Governing law and arbitration providers

Dispute resolution with government entities

Arbitral award

Limitation periods

ENVIRONMENTAL REGULATION

International environmental law Local environmental responsibility

CROSS-BORDER ISSUES

International treaties

Tax treaties

Currency controls

Removal of revenues, profits and investment

UPDATE AND TRENDS

Emerging trends

Contributors

USA

Peckar & Abramson PC



Michael S Zicherman Jerry P Brodsky Denis Serkin mzicherman@pecklaw.com jbrodsky@pecklaw.com dserkin@pecklaw.com

LOCAL MARKET

Foreign pursuit of the local market

If a foreign designer or contractor wanted to set up an operation to pursue the local market, what are the key concerns they should consider before taking such a step?

Few legal concerns arise simply because a company establishing a US operation is foreign. Rather, the primary concerns facing foreign contractors are more of a practical nature, including the following:

- determining whether or not to operate as a union or merit shop (non-union) operation;
- obtaining sufficient bonding capacity with a qualified surety;
- finding qualified domestic executives and supervisors to ensure the cultural transition to US industry practices;
- locating qualified legal counsel and becoming conversant with important legal considerations that regularly challenge and affect contractors;
- · establishing relationships with local trade subcontractors; and
- establishing, with the guidance of counsel, an appropriate programme to ensure
 compliance with US laws and regulations that apply to the contractor's work and
 to ensure that the company's expatriates comply with US law, instead of relying
 upon the presumed acceptability of conduct and practices with which they are
 accustomed. For example, many of the regulations and laws that pertain to a
 contractor's or designer's entertainment of government employees, as well as certain
 non-governmental employees who may be governed by the same rules, are not
 intuitive, and proper legal guidance is essential for a company entering the US market.

Many foreign contractors have entered the US market successfully, employing different models to establish their operations. Two models have worked well for European contractors: purchasing a domestic operation and pursuing business through that operation, and establishing joint ventures with domestic companies. These models eliminate many potential problems in forming a US operation, particularly if the contractor purchases a domestic company, as it 'inherits' an operation already fully integrated into US practices and its target markets. In fact, foreign companies are increasingly pursuing the acquisition of US construction companies, as the condition of the US economy has created new opportunities. Asian contractors, on the other hand, have typically established their operations in the US by initially working with businesses owned by their fellow countrymen and women and then growing domestically from that base. This model requires a greater investment in developing a unit that can succeed in the US markets than the European model. The Asian model, however, has undergone changes over the years as Asian-based companies are now pursuing the purchase of US companies to compete in the US market.

Law stated - 29 May 2024

REGULATION AND COMPLIANCE

Licensing procedures

Must foreign designers and contractors be licensed locally to work and, if so, what are the consequences of working without a licence?

Licensing requirements vary from state to state and even within a state. Architects and engineers typically require local licences by the states in which they provide professional services. All architects must be licensed, and engineers must be licensed to prepare, sign, seal and submit engineering plans and drawings to a public authority for approval, or to seal engineering work for public and private clients. However, engineers do not have to be licensed if they are merely working in an engineering firm and are not making final engineering determinations or filing engineering drawings. Alternatively, the laws in New York and some other states provide that a foreign engineer or architect may be granted a limited permit to perform design services in connection with a specific project.

As for contractors, not all states require contractors to have licences. States such as California have statutes requiring virtually all contractors to be licensed, while others, such as New York, do not require contractor licensing on a state-wide level, but leave contractor regulation to the municipalities. A growing number of states have also begun requiring entities that provide pure construction management services to be licensed, either by procuring a specific construction management licence issued by the state or by requiring the construction manager to possess a general contractor or mechanical contractor licence or an architect or engineering licence. Nonetheless, where a licence is required by state law, the licence must be kept current, which often requires taking continuing education classes, and the contractor must be able to demonstrate that it is properly licensed.

Practising without a licence when one is required by statute is viewed as illegal and may subject the person to criminal prosecution. In addition, courts will typically refuse to enforce contracts with such persons. For example, the laws in many states provide that if a contractor is not licensed (when required), or if the licence has lapsed without renewal, the contractor is not entitled to compensation for the work it performed and may be required to return monies already paid. There have even been reported instances of public entities scrutinising a contractor's licensing history and, if a technical lapse is found, filing a lawsuit to recover any monies already approved and paid. To overcome such inequities, some jurisdictions have established a 'substantial compliance' doctrine that allows a contractor or designer, in certain limited circumstances, to recover payment for services performed.

Law stated - 29 May 2024

Competition

Do local laws provide any advantage to domestic contractors in competition with foreign contractors?

Though not intended to disadvantage foreign contractors, various local laws effectively give local contractors an advantage in public contracting. Regardless of nationality, construction companies awarded federal contracts must comply with the Buy American Act, which requires that materials incorporated into the project be made in the United States or in a trade agreement-compliant country. Otherwise, 6 per cent of the cost of the foreign materials is added to the bidder's price proposal. Various other statutes and executive orders impose even more restrictive 'buy American' requirements. More than half of the individual states in

the United States, as well as many local governments, have similar 'buy local' requirements. Thus, while foreign and domestic contractors are treated alike, foreign contractors may be disadvantaged by lack of access to domestic material suppliers and competitive pricing in the local market. The government also has a goal of awarding 23 per cent of its procurement budget to small businesses. Additional goals of 3 to 5 per cent are set for preferential classes, such as small disadvantaged businesses and service-disabled veteran-owned small businesses. Foreign contractors are explicitly excluded from these set-aside programmes, as eligibility requires the company to be organised for profit, with a place of business in the United States, and to operate primarily within the United States, or to make a significant contribution to the US economy through payment of taxes or use of US products, materials or labour.

As a consequence of the large number of contractor and designer acquisitions by large domestic and foreign companies, there have been a significant number of situations where companies have been disqualified from competing for a publicly funded project because of the role that a parent or sister company had in the project, which was perceived to create a possible advantage to the competing contractor. With the increasing frequency of contractors and designers serving at times as project managers, and contractors serving as construction managers or general contractors, depending upon the opportunity, the possibility of this organisational conflict is substantial.

Law stated - 29 May 2024

Competition protections

What legal protections exist to ensure fair and open competition to secure contracts with public entities, and to prevent bid rigging or other anticompetitive behaviour?

The United States maintains robust laws, on both the federal and state levels, to promote open competition for public construction works. Federally, the foundation for competitive contracting is the aptly named Competition in Contracting Act (CICA), which is the predicate for the Federal Acquisition Regulations (FARs). While the FARs provide the specific acquisition regulations for each of the various government agencies and departments, the CICA still requires (subject to certain specified exceptions) that only sealed bids are to be evaluated, and that the award of the contract is based solely on the factors specified in the publicly advertised solicitation. If a contractor believes that a bid was not properly awarded in the competitive manner required by the CICA or the FARs, the CICA allows for the contractor to protest and challenge an improper solicitation or an improperly issued award. Other federal competition-promoting laws include the Sherman Act, which prohibits price-fixing, bid rigging, bid suppression and other anticompetitive collusive behaviour; the Anti-Kickback Act, which prohibits contractors from soliciting or receiving kickbacks from subcontractors in exchange for subcontract awards; and prohibitions against payments made by contractors to influence the award of a federal contract, among other similar laws. Violations of these laws can carry serious criminal and civil consequences, including up to 10 years' imprisonment, multimillion-dollar fines and debarment. Moreover, the FARs also obligate federal contracting personnel to report bids that they believe may violate such laws.

Most states have laws that similarly promote open competition for public works by generally requiring awards to go to the bidder that provides the lowest-priced bid and is capable

of performing the construction works, thereby removing subjectivity and the potential for favouritism in awarding contracts, and allowing contractors to protest improper solicitations and improperly awarded contracts. The various states also have laws that mirror the federal anticompetition statutes and likewise provide serious consequences for their violation.

Law stated - 29 May 2024

Bribery

If a contractor has illegally obtained the award of a contract, for example, by bribery, will the contract be enforceable? Are bribe-givers and bribe-takers prosecuted and, if so, what are the penalties they face? Are facilitation payments allowable under local law?

A bribe is generally defined, under state and federal laws, as the giving of money or something of value to a person who can control or influence action favourable to the person making the gift. This would include giving a government contracting officer money to influence the manner in which a contract is awarded. Giving money or something of value to a purchasing agent at a private company to influence the award of a contract is a commercial bribe, but a bribe nonetheless. In this same regard, facilitation payments to expedite or secure the performance of routine government functions are likewise deemed to be impermissible bribes if made to government officials in the United States. However, these same facilitation payments are legal if made abroad by US companies and their subsidiaries and constitute an exception to the anti-bribery provisions of the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act (FCPA). Even though facilitation payments are technically permissible under the FCPA, this exception is very narrowly construed and such payments are closely scrutinised.

Bribery is a crime punishable by imprisonment or fines, or both. Importantly, it can also result in forfeiture of the benefits of the crime, including the right to payment for services provided under the illegally procured contract. The person and the company offering the bribe will suffer criminal prosecution, will likely lose the right to be paid under that contract (even if the work was performed) and may suffer other adverse consequences as a by-product of the illegal activity, such as suspension or debarment from the right to perform work for any government agency. Bribery is taken very seriously in the United States and is zealously prosecuted.

Foreign companies working in the United States need to learn the distinctions between acceptable practice in other jurisdictions internationally and in the United States, as innocent, allowable gift-giving to a government representative in other parts of the world is looked upon harshly in the United States and can have serious legal consequences. Even treating a government employee to dinner can result in disciplinary action against the government official and, at a minimum, the suspicion of illegal bribery by the contractor. Moreover, foreign contractors should be aware that civil and criminal prosecution under the FCPA is not restricted to just US companies working abroad or foreign companies working in the United States or on a US-funded project. Instead, the FCPA is far-reaching and has been successfully used by the US government to investigate and prosecute foreign corporations for corrupt practices occurring in foreign countries on non-US projects, based merely on incidental or tangential contacts with the United States that are unrelated to the project.

Law stated - 29 May 2024

Reporting bribery

Under local law, must employees of the project team members report suspicion or knowledge of bribery of government employees and, if so, what are the penalties for failure to report?

Employees of most project teams have no affirmative obligation to report suspicion or knowledge of bribery of a government official or government employee. Similarly, the employee has no obligation to report any fraudulent or criminal conduct by its employer or other project participants. However, on projects performed pursuant to contracts with the federal government or funded by the federal government, there is an obligation to self-report conduct that violates any law, thus requiring that any participation in bribery be reported. Federal contractors are obliged to maintain a compliance programme that includes, among many other elements, policies to encourage employees to report their suspicion or knowledge of such violations. Whistle-blower laws also exist pursuant to both federal and state statutes to encourage employees to voluntarily report incidents of fraud, bribery, criminal conduct and other statutory violations. These laws are designed to protect employees who report these activities against retaliation, such as by demotion or termination of employment. If an employee was retaliated against for whistle-blowing, a court can order the reinstatement of the employee to the same position, and award compensation for all lost wages and benefits, reasonable costs and attorneys' fees, and punitive damages.

Law stated - 29 May 2024

Political contributions

Is the making of political contributions part of doing business? If so, are there laws that restrict the ability of contractors or design professionals to work for public agencies because of their financial support for political candidates or parties?

Whereas bribery statutes focus on money or gifts given directly to public officials, the federal government and a growing number of states have enacted legislation that addresses attempts to influence public officials through indirect means, by way of political contributions. These statutes are commonly referred to as 'pay to play' laws. Pay to play is the practice of making contributions to elected officials to garner their favour and to influence their awarding of government contracts. Although particular statutory requirements vary, these laws generally prohibit any company from making campaign contributions to a political official, candidate or political action committee for up to several years prior to the award of a public contract. These laws further require contractors bidding on public works to disclose all previous political contributions. If the contractor discloses a political contribution during the proscribed period, the contractor will be disqualified from being awarded the contract. In addition, if the contractor intentionally fails to disclose an offending contribution, the sanctions can be severe, including a monetary penalty up to the value of the contract awarded, and the contractor may be debarred from further contracts with any public entity in the jurisdiction for years. Given such extreme sanctions, it would ordinarily be expected that there would have to be a large political contribution. However, in at least one state, the offending political contributions were as little as US\$300 over the preceding 18 months.

Compliance

Is a construction manager or other construction professional acting as a public entity's representative or agent on a project (and its employees) subject to the same anti-corruption and compliance rules as government employees?

A construction manager or other construction professional acting as a public entity's representative or agent on a project is typically not governed by the anti-corruption restrictions that pertain to employees of the public entity, unless those restrictions are expressly made applicable to the representative in its contract with the public entity, or by other applicable statutes or regulations. However, insofar as third parties interacting with that construction manager or construction professional are concerned, they nonetheless would be wise to treat these entities as if they were the employees of the public entity. Thus, by way of example, in many US jurisdictions, a trade contractor under contract directly or indirectly to a public entity would be restrained from giving things of a certain value to the public entity employees to avoid accusations of bribery. Even if the laws and regulations do not explicitly preclude a construction manager or other professional working for that same public entity from accepting things of value from that same trade contractor, giving something of value to that manager or professional that exceeds what the public entity's direct employee can accept could be considered a bribe. Thus, to avoid such potential pitfalls, the wise course for trade contractors is to treat managers and professionals acting on behalf of the public entity as if they were the public entity, and similarly, managers and professionals acting on behalf of a public entity should act as if they are the public entity.

Law stated - 29 May 2024

Other international legal considerations

Are there any other important legal issues that may present obstacles to a foreign contractor attempting to do business in your jurisdiction?

The United States is probably one of the most welcoming jurisdictions for foreign investment or active participation in the construction industry, and the passage of the Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act in 2021 creates additional opportunities for international contractors. Although there are few obstacles to doing business in the United States, it is not a single jurisdiction like most other countries. Being a contractor in the United States requires knowledge of a spectrum of issues in the particular states in which the contractor intends to operate, ranging from basic legal principles to cultural and business practices. This is often the reason why some contractors in the United States operate within certain geographical regions and not others. Even within large states, while the law is uniform, the range of cultural issues can be quite varied. For example, Florida is a single state but has at least seven or eight different areas so culturally diverse that each could almost be considered a different state; New Jersey is divided culturally between the north (New York-centric) and the south (Philadelphia-centric); California is equal to the length of seven states on the east coast and offers a diversity of culture that would be expected in different states; and, while

New York City has its own unique culture, there other parts of the state that have their own culture, none of them at all similar to New York City.

The cultural and business practices aspect of doing business in the United States is critically important. From labour relations to subcontractor relations, work practices and 'acceptance' of 'out-of-towners' (not least foreign companies), these issues will determine the potential profitability of a newcomer more than any others. Further, the ability of the foreign contractor to adapt to the way business is conducted and individuals behave in the United States is critical to success.

For example, the representatives of foreign companies assigned to work in the United States may not understand or appreciate US laws relating to conduct in the workplace (eg, sexual harassment and age discrimination), which may result in claims, litigation and other serious legal issues. That is why entrance into the market through purchasing an existing and successful US contractor, or joint-venturing with one, is initially the wisest path for a foreign company.

Law stated - 29 May 2024

CONTRACTS AND INSURANCE

Construction contracts

What standard contract forms are used for construction and design? Must the language of the contract be the local language? Are there restrictions on choice of law and the venue for dispute resolution?

Many different form contracts are utilised. The most widely used form contracts are those published by the American Institute of Architects (AIA), which has developed contracts not only for architectural services but also forms commonly used by owners, contractors and construction managers. Its A201 document, which sets forth general conditions of contract for general construction contracts, is unquestionably the most commonly used document in the industry and is often attached to customised contract forms that are not written by the AIA. In addition to the AIA series of contracts are the ConsensusDOCS construction documents, which were developed jointly by 22 owner, contractor, designer and surety organisations, including the Associated General Contractors of America (AGC). These documents purportedly present a more collaborative approach to contractual relationships, and also have several specialised contractual addenda to address the needs of projects that utilise building information modelling or involve green building. Other available industry form contracts that are less widely used are those published by the AGC, which are generally considered by many to be more favourable to contractors, as well as those published by the Engineers Joint Contract Documents Committee, whose members are representatives of several societies representing professional engineering disciplines and tend to favour the interests of engineers. Moreover, many large owners and developers, governmental entities and contractors also have their own standard form contracts, which they may impose on contractors and subcontractors with little ability to negotiate the terms.

Regardless of the form of contract used, there is no requirement that the contract is written in English, although that is typically the case. In respect of the applicable law and the venue for dispute resolution, federal law and the law of most states generally provide that parties to a contract are free to agree upon the choice of law that governs their contract and the venue

for their dispute, as long as the choice of law and venue bears a reasonable relationship to the parties or the dispute. If not, the courts may engage in a conflict of laws analysis to determine the appropriate jurisdiction's law to apply, and as to venue, the court may dismiss or transfer the action to a location that is more convenient for the parties and witnesses. Several states, however, have enacted a special law that prohibits parties to a contract for a construction project being performed within the state from agreeing in their contract to apply the laws of a different state or to require any dispute resolution to be conducted in another jurisdiction.

Law stated - 29 May 2024

Payment methods

How are contractors, subcontractors, vendors and workers typically paid and is there a standard frequency for payments?

Most construction contracts between owners and general contractors and between general contractors and subcontractors provide for payment on a monthly basis, while labourers are traditionally paid on a weekly basis. Payments are typically made in accordance with the contractor's certified requisition for work completed during the preceding monthly period, minus a withholding of usually between 5 and 10 per cent of the amount payable, which the owner or contractor retains until the final payment requisition as security for the contractor's completion of the contract. On fast turnaround projects, such as tenant fit-outs, which only last a couple of months, it is not uncommon for requisitions and payments to be made on a biweekly basis as a means for the contractor to be paid for the first part of the work before the entire project is completed. There is no uniformity or custom for the manner in which payments are made, but it is standard for payments to be made either by cheque or electronic wire transfer.

Law stated - 29 May 2024

Contractual matrix of international projects

What is the typical contractual matrix for a major project in your jurisdiction in terms of the contractual relationships among the various construction project participants?

The most common contractual structure is where the owner contracts directly with an architect or engineer for the design of the project and with a general contractor for the construction. The general contractor then enters into subcontracts with all of the trade contractors. However, that structure often varies depending upon the needs or desires of the owner, the project delivery method (eg, design—bid—build or design and build) and pertinent laws. For example, sophisticated owners on large private construction projects are increasingly using construction managers on an 'at-risk' basis to hold all the contracts with the trades and to furnish the completed work at a guaranteed maximum price, or on an 'agency' basis, where the owner contracts with each of the trades separately through the construction manager. In addition, several states have laws requiring public entities on certain improvement projects to enter into separate contracts with each of the major trades (ie, mechanical, electrical, plumbing, general contracting and structural steel), as opposed

to a single-source contract with a general contractor. However, the design-build model is gaining in popularity as more and more states and government agencies permit its use on public projects.

Law stated - 29 May 2024

PPP and PFI

Is there a formal statutory and regulatory framework for PPP and PFI contracts?

There is no general statutory PPP or PFI framework applicable to federal procurements. Legislation enabling these partnerships is either project-specific or specific to a federal agency. For example, the Veterans Administration and the Department of Defense regularly enter into PPPs through their enhanced use lease procurement procedures, and now the US Army Corps of Engineers is authorised to undertake a PPP pilot programme for water and navigation projects.

Although the most significant PPP road projects may be perceived as federal projects (owing to the designation of the road as an 'interstate' highway), the reality is that they are state projects administered by the state department of transportation pursuant to state statutes. Nonetheless, there is an important federal component as these projects often rely on federal funding. There is no common statutory scheme or government approach towards PPPs among the 50 states, but the Federal Highway Administration has a model PPP law for private toll roads that allows for both solicited and unsolicited bids from private developers.

PPPs remain a highly political issue, despite all the reasons for them to flourish in the United States. However, as states have a growing need to undertake major infrastructure projects that are frequently estimated to cost in excess of US\$1 billion, they are beginning to adopt legislation to permit PPPs on either a state-wide or project-specific basis. At present, there are approximately 39 states that now have some form of P3 legislation, either for transportation or social infrastructure (such as public buildings) or both, and many others have pending legislation. States with a legal framework for PPPs typically exempt them from the traditional procurement rules, which are often too impractical or onerous for PPP proposers and may award a contract based on the best value rather than the lowest bid. Where state agencies consider unsolicited proposals, the PPP laws normally require that final bidding be opened up to other qualified proposers.

Law stated - 29 May 2024

Joint ventures

Are all members of consortia jointly liable for the entire project or may they allocate liability and responsibility among them?

Parties to a contract are free to allocate liability as they deem appropriate. Thus, members of a consortium may allocate, in their consortium agreement, the percentage for which each member is responsible for losses or claims against the consortium. Notwithstanding this internal allocation, when contractors choose to operate as a consortium, the consortium is effectively treated, for legal liability and responsibility purposes, as a joint venture or general

partnership, which means that each member of the consortium is jointly and severally liable to third parties for the actions of the consortium. Unless a contract with a project owner limits the owner's rights to only seek relief against the assets of the consortium, each consortium member will be liable to the owner (or to any other party with claims against the consortium) for the full amount of the damages claimed. If a consortium member pays more than its allocable share of a claim against the consortium, that member can then seek indemnification from the other consortium members.

Law stated - 29 May 2024

Tort claims and indemnity

Do local laws permit a contracting party to be indemnified against all acts, errors and omissions arising from the work of the other party, even when the first party is negligent?

Generally, an indemnification provision in a construction contract is valid and fully enforceable. Such clauses, when properly drafted, may require a contracting party to indemnify the other party not only against the contracting party's negligent acts, errors and omissions but against the other party's own negligence as well. In determining the extent to which a party is contractually required to indemnify the other, courts in many states look solely to the intent of the parties as gleaned from the terms of the contract. However, before requiring one party to indemnify the other against the other party's negligence, some states require this intent to be stated expressly in the contract, so the indemnifying party indisputably knows that it is, in effect, insuring the other against its own negligence. Regardless of the language employed, some states have enacted laws proscribing parties to a construction contract from being indemnified against their own negligent conduct. In New York, for example, a party cannot be indemnified against claims for bodily injury or property damage, where that party's negligence wholly or partially caused the damage. By contrast, in New Jersey, indemnification is only proscribed in situations where the indemnitee's negligence was the sole cause of the loss or damage. These laws do not apply, however, to insurance companies that are in the business of taking the risks involved in protecting negligent people, nor do they apply to claims for economic loss.

Law stated - 29 May 2024

Liability to third parties

Where a contractor constructs a building that will be sold or leased to a third party, does the contractor bear any potential responsibility to the third party? May the third party pursue a claim against the contractor despite the lack of contractual privity?

Whether a contractor bears responsibility to third parties for the work it performed depends upon the nature of the construction and the type of damages sustained by the third party, as well as the state in which the work is performed (as statutes and case law on this issue vary). Typically, in a commercial context, absent privity of contract, a third-party purchaser or lessee does not have any direct recourse against a contractor for claims of defective work, delays in turnover of the work and the like. However, there are some circumstances where

the contractor still may be subject to liability in tort for a duty owed to the third party where improperly performed work results in personal injuries, wrongful death or property damage (excluding warranty-related claims). In residential construction, particularly condominium projects, while privity is also the standard requirement for a person to pursue a legal claim against a contractor, several states, especially Florida, Nevada and California, have enacted legislation that provides condominium owners with the right to bring a direct action against a contractor for claimed defective work that it performed in connection with the individual's dwelling. In those states, the right of condominium owners to sue contractors has become a mini-industry unto itself, as the plaintiff's attorneys specialising in representing condominium owners join with forensic engineers to pursue claims on many such projects. Consequently, the contractor (and its insurance carrier) is exposed to liability and significant litigation costs from someone with whom it never contracted or had any dealings.

Law stated - 29 May 2024

Insurance

To what extent do available insurance products afford a contractor coverage for: damage to the property of third parties; injury to workers or third parties; delay damages; and damages due to environmental hazards? Does the local law limit contractors' liability for damages?

There are many different insurance products available to contractors and subcontractors in the US construction market. Collectively, these insurance products will cover most types of third-party liability exposure for personal injuries, property damage, environmental damage and, in some cases, economic losses.

Many forms of insurance also are required by contract or by local laws, but, regardless, the most common insurances procured by contractors and design professionals include the following:

- · employer liability insurance;
- · errors and omissions insurance;
- · comprehensive general liability insurance;
- · pollution liability insurance;
- · property insurance;
- · builder's risk insurance;
- owners and contractors protective liability insurance;
- · umbrella or excess liability insurance;
- · worker's compensation insurance; and
- subcontractor default insurance (SDI).

There is no limit on the quantum of a contractor's liability to a third party, but there may be limits on the amount of coverage that an insurer is willing to provide in respect of a particular risk, such that the contractor is exposed to personal liability for damages sustained by a party in excess of the policy limits. For this reason, depending on the project, some contractors

may procure umbrella or excess liability coverage to insure against the risk that the limits of a particular insurance policy are exceeded, but even these excess policies have limits that may conceivably be exceeded on a particular claim. Depending on the specific terms of the policy, insurance coverage may be available to cover delay damages sustained by a third party, but owing to coverage exclusions typically found in most liability policies, a contractor will usually not be able to insure against delay damages or liquidated damages it sustains as a result of its own actions or the actions of its subcontractors. The one exception may be in respect of SDI, which is specifically designed to insure the contractor against damages attributable to the default of one of its subcontractors.

Law stated - 29 May 2024

LABOUR AND CLOSURE OF OPERATIONS

Labour requirements

Are there any laws requiring a minimum amount of local labour to be employed on a particular construction project?

Generally, contractors are free to determine staffing levels for all components of their projects. For public works projects, however, the contracting entity may require contractors to utilise a certain percentage of 'minority' or 'disadvantaged business' enterprises to perform the work. Requirements range from 'best efforts' to recruit such enterprises, with no specific utilisation requirement, to a specific 'set aside', requiring utilisation of such enterprises for a fixed percentage of the work. Collective bargaining agreements, project labour agreements and trade union work rules may oblige contractors to have crews of a certain size depending upon the nature of the work. For example, a labour agreement with an equipment-operating union may require that a mechanic be employed whenever a certain number of machines are operated on a project. On public works projects, applicable prevailing wage laws may incorporate staffing requirements contained in local collective bargaining agreements. Lastly, contractors that are awarded a federal contract or subcontract are required to electronically verify employment authorisation of all employees performing work on the project using the E-Verify internet-based system operated by the Department of Homeland Security and the US Citizenship and Immigration Services.

Law stated - 29 May 2024

Local labour law

If a contractor directly hires local labour (at any level) for a project, are there any legal obligations towards the employees that cannot be terminated upon completion of the employment?

The only legal obligations towards employees that might remain after the completion of employment are any continuing obligations that may exist under the federal Davis-Bacon Act (DBA), and corresponding state statutes, as well as the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 (ERISA), for work performed during the course of the employment. The DBA requires payment of locally prevailing wages and fringe benefits to labourers and mechanics employed on most federal government contracts for construction, alteration

or repair (including painting and decorating) of public buildings or public works. Under the DBA, contractors and subcontractors must pay all mechanics and labourers employed directly on the site, not less often than once a week, the full amount accrued at the time of payment, computed at wage rates not less than those stated in the advertised specifications, regardless of any contractual obligation that may exist. Many states have also enacted their own public works statutes, known as Little Davis-Bacon Acts, which operate in much the same manner, including their own prevailing wage requirements. Further, to the extent that a contractor, or a union utilised by a contractor, maintains a pension plan on behalf of its employees, ERISA serves to regulate the operation of the plan and would obligate the contractor to fund the plan on behalf of a terminated employee, where the employee's benefits were earned prior to his or her termination. When a contractor enters into a collective bargaining agreement with a US labour union that requires the contractor to contribute towards the union's fringe benefits fund, the contractor assumes the risk that, if and when it terminates a relationship with the union, it will be liable for some portion of the unfunded liability of the union fringe benefits fund. The unfunded liability can be significant and is, therefore, an important issue for all contractors who enter into collective bargaining agreements.

Law stated - 29 May 2024

Labour and human rights

What laws apply to the treatment of foreign construction workers and what rights do they have? What are the local law consequences for failure to follow those laws?

Foreign construction workers that entered the United States legally and have proper work authorisations from the federal government have essentially the same rights as any US citizen and are equally protected by local workplace laws and labour laws. While employers cannot legally hire undocumented workers (workers that have not legally entered the country (eg, illegal aliens)), if they do, those workers are still afforded many of the basic rights and privileges guaranteed by the US Constitution and are entitled to many of the same protections secured by the US labour laws, such as the right to be paid minimum or prevailing wages, to be paid overtime pay, and to be free from discrimination and wrongful termination. These undocumented workers even have the right to press claims and sue for a violation of these laws and to recover proper payment for work performed, but they cannot sue for back pay for work they had not performed, as a US citizen is permitted to do. Moreover, work camps populated with foreign construction workers do not exist in the United States and, therefore, many of the abuses of workers known to exist in work camps also do not exist.

Law stated - 29 May 2024

Close of operations

If a foreign contractor that has been legally operating decides to close its operations, what are the legal obstacles to closing up and leaving?

When a contractor decides to cease its operations, there are various laws and other considerations that are implicated in that decision. The primary statute affecting such

decisions is the federal Worker Adjustment and Retraining Notification Act (the WARN Act), which protects workers, their families and communities by requiring employers with 100 or more employees to provide at least 60 calendar days' advance written notice of a plant closing or a mass lay-off affecting 50 or more employees at a single site of employment. These requirements do not apply when the lay-offs occur because of unforeseeable business circumstances, faltering companies and natural disasters. Also exempt are workers on a particular building or project, or recurring seasonal work, if the workers understood at the time they were hired that their work was temporary. Advance notice gives workers and their families transition time to adjust to the prospective loss of employment, to seek and obtain other jobs and, if necessary, to enter skill training or retraining that will allow these workers to compete successfully for employment. In addition to the federal statute, some states, such as New York, New Jersey, California, Maryland, Illinois and Tennessee, have their own versions of the WARN Act, which must be adhered to as well.

Additional considerations affecting a company's decision include whether the company has unionised employees and if it contributes to a defined-benefit pension plan. Further, if the employees are unionised, the company may have to bargain with the union before closing its operations. If corporate contributions have been made to the union's defined-benefit pension plan (known commonly as fringe benefit funds), liability may be incurred for a portion of the unfunded pension benefits measured at the time when the employer ceases contributing to the plan.

Law stated - 29 May 2024

PAYMENT

Payment rights

How may a contractor secure the right to payment of its costs and fees from an owner? May the contractor place liens on the property?

There are a number of options available to contractors to ensure payment from owners. The simplest means is for the contractor to satisfy itself at the outset that the owner has made adequate financial arrangements to fulfil its contractual obligations. The American Institute of Architects' (AIA) General Conditions (AIA Document A201-2007/2017) provide that, prior to the commencement of the work and upon the contractor's written request, the owner shall furnish reasonable evidence to the contractor that it has made adequate financial arrangements to pay the contractor. Contract documents published by other industry trade groups contain similar provisions. Contractors also may be able to file mechanic's liens (sometimes called construction liens) on the improved property, which would provide them with a security interest in the property to ensure payment. However, the lien laws of each state must be checked and strictly adhered to for a contractor to avail itself of this remedy. The notice and procedural requirements are stringent and there are often penalties for improperly filed liens. Additionally, the federal government and numerous states have adopted prompt pay laws that require payment within a certain specified time period and provide for penalties such as higher interest rates and attorneys' fees if payment is not made in a timely fashion by an owner. Under these laws, the contractor may also have the right to suspend work if payment is not made within the prescribed time. In the absence of such a statute, the contractor may still attempt to include similar terms in its contract. Lastly, if

non-payment constitutes a material breach of the contract, the contractor may be justified in terminating its performance.

Law stated - 29 May 2024

'Pay if paid' and 'pay when paid'

Does local law prohibit construction contracts from containing terms that make a subcontractor's right to payment contingent on the general contractor's receipt of payment from the owner, thereby causing the subcontractor to bear the risk of the owner's non-payment or late payment?

In most US states, construction contract clauses that make a subcontractor's right to payment contingent on the general contractor's receipt of payment from the owner are enforceable. The two operative clauses are referred to as 'pay when paid' and 'pay if paid'. A pay when paid clause is when the contract simply provides that the subcontractor will receive its payment within a specified period of time after the contractor's receipt of payment from the owner. Under such provisions, the subcontractor bears the risk of the owner's late payment to the contractor, but it is still entitled to payment from the contractor within a reasonable period of time, even if the owner never pays the contractor. Conversely, a pay if paid clause is when the contract expressly conditions the contractor's obligation to pay the subcontractor upon the contractor's receipt of payment from the owner. These provisions have the effect of forcing the subcontractor to bear the risk of the owner's solvency and its failure to pay. While pay if paid clauses are generally enforceable in most states, they are highly disfavoured by the courts and a clause will only be construed as a pay if paid provision if its intent to transfer the risk of non-payment by the owner is clear in the contract. However, in a handful of states, pay if paid clauses are expressly illegal or void as against public policy, either by statute or by case law. Notwithstanding, even in jurisdictions where they are enforceable, a contractor will not be protected by a pay if paid clause if the actions or inactions of the contractor, unrelated to the subcontractor's performance of its work, were the reason for the owner's non-payment.

Law stated - 29 May 2024

Contracting with government entities

Can a government agency assert sovereign immunity as a defence to a contractor's claim for payment?

Historically, government entities were immune from liability arising from the actions of their agents and could be sued only if they granted their consent or otherwise waived immunity. Today, the federal government and most, if not all, state governments have enacted legislation waiving their sovereign immunity, consenting to be sued in respect of certain issues and claims arising under the contracts they enter into.

For federal contracts, sovereign immunity was waived through passage of the Contract Disputes Act (CDA). The CDA identifies the types of actions that can be brought against the federal government and enumerates the procedures that must be followed to bring suit.

Specifically, the CDA waives sovereign immunity so that a contractor may appeal the final decision on its certified claim to the Civilian Board of Contract Appeals (or other contract appeals boards) or the United States Court of Federal Claims.

Significantly, waivers of sovereign immunity are limited by the specific terms of the relevant legislation and the government may avoid liability for actions that are deemed sovereign acts, as contrasted with acts undertaken in its contractual capacity. The distinction is whether the government's act affects the public generally or whether it is directed at the contractor only. Although rare, the federal government has attempted to avoid contractor claims on this basis. Also significant is that the federal government and most state governments are protected by sovereign immunity from quasi-contract claims, such as quantum meruit and unjust enrichment. Moreover, where sovereign immunity has been waived, the relevant statutes may also have various notice provisions and deadlines within which legal proceedings must be commenced. For this reason, it is extremely important that contractors strictly adhere to the procedures established in the CDA and its state law equivalents. Failure to do so will likely cause a contractor to forfeit its claim.

Law stated - 29 May 2024

Statutory payment protection

Where major projects have been interrupted or cancelled, do the local laws provide any protection for unpaid contractors who have performed work?

Apart from any contractual remedies that may be available to a contractor for the suspension or convenience termination of a project, all states have one or more legal remedies available to unpaid contractors for the work that they performed. The most common legal remedy available to unpaid contractors is the right to file a mechanic's lien. On private projects, it serves as a lien against the improved property for the amount of the unpaid contract work that was performed, and on public projects, it serves as a lien against moneys due to the general contractor. For public improvement projects, state and federal laws require the general contractor to post a payment bond, which guarantees payment to unpaid subcontractors and suppliers. In some instances, payment bonds may also be required by statute for private improvements on public property.

Many states, and even the federal government, also have statutes known as prompt pay laws, which require that subcontractors, and, in some cases, contractors, be paid within a specified number of days after receipt of payment from the employer. Failure to make timely payment in accordance with these requirements can result in significant legal consequences. These laws typically provide the contractor with a right to interest on the unpaid monies and may entitle the unpaid contractor to suspend its future performance on the project (without recourse by the owner) until payment is finally made. On public projects, a common condition for receiving payment from the government is the requirement that the contractor certify on the payment requisition that all subcontractors have been paid in accordance with the prompt pay provisions. A false certification can result in serious claims by the government, including claims of making false statements, false claims and fraud. The government has been known to make these claims in both civil and criminal contexts, depending upon the circumstances.

Law stated - 29 May 2024

FORCE MAJEURE

Force majeure and acts of God

Under local law are contractors excused from performing contractual obligations owing to events beyond their control?

The law applicable to construction contracts is very rigid and, absent total impossibility of performance or a specific contractual provision excusing non-performance, a contractor is bound to perform its contract, even if doing so will be more burdensome or less profitable than it had anticipated. If the contract provides a required date of performance, that date generally must be met, irrespective of whether events occur that are beyond the control of a party.

The reason for this is that contracting parties are deemed to have assumed the various risks encountered in meeting their contractual promises. If the parties wish to protect themselves against hardships owing to circumstances beyond their control that can hinder or delay their performance, they must incorporate specific protective provisions into their contract.

Two common protective provisions are the force majeure clause and the termination for convenience clause. A force majeure provision usually identifies the specific delaying events or occurrences beyond a party's control for which it will be entitled to an extension of time to complete its obligations, such as acts of God, fires, floods and acts of the government. A termination for convenience provision allows a party, at its discretion, to prematurely end the contract. This type of clause may be used by a contractor to avoid having its subcontractors complete their work where the owner has abandoned the project. However, termination for convenience clauses typically require the terminating party to pay the other party for the work performed up to the date of termination, costs incurred by the termination (ie, demobilisation costs and subcontractor close-out costs) and sometimes lost profits on the uncompleted work.

Law stated - 29 May 2024

DISPUTES

Courts and tribunals

Are there any specialised tribunals that are dedicated to resolving construction disputes?

With very few exceptions, in most states there are no special courts or public tribunals dedicated exclusively to the resolution of construction disputes. However, the federal government and various states have tribunals dedicated to resolving disputes against public entities, and given the volume of construction-related disputes in the public sector, these tribunals have developed a particular specialisation in such claims.

Under the Federal Claims Act, a contractor has the choice to challenge a contracting officer's final decision in the US Court of Federal Claims (USCFC) or before a board of contract appeals (BCA). The USCFC is the single and central court in which contract claims brought against the federal government are heard. A BCA is a quasi-court within the federal agency that hears disputes resulting from the issuance of a contracting officer's final decision. At

present, there only are three BCAs: the Civilian Board of Contract Appeals (CBCA), the Armed Services Board of Contract Appeals and the Postal Service Board of Contract Appeals. The CBCA will hear challenges brought in all the civilian government agencies.

Some states also have special courts that hear claims brought against that state. For example, the New York Court of Claims is the only court that hears contractual and other claims brought against the state of New York. In addition, some state and municipal governments have established specialised boards to hear disputes, similar to the BCAs at the federal level. Further, in New York, some state agencies (such as the Metropolitan Transportation Authority) have established boards to hear disputes, as have some city agencies (such as the New York City Department of Environmental Protection). Accordingly, knowing whether or not there are any specialised courts or other tribunals to resolve construction disputes at the state and municipal level should be checked in the particular jurisdiction.

Law stated - 29 May 2024

Dispute review boards

Are dispute review boards (DRBs) used? Are their decisions treated as mandatory, advisory, final or interim?

The use of DRBs is continually increasing. Major, high-profile projects, such as Boston's Big Dig project and Florida's I-4 and I-595 PPP project, have used DRBs. Typically, they are used on major infrastructure projects rather than building projects, but are starting to be used on more modest projects as well. There is no particular reason for this distinction, other than the manner in which the use of DRBs has developed, as well as cost considerations. Some states, such as Florida, require the use of DRBs on public transportation and other public works. Florida also requires the use of regional or state-wide DRBs for disputes or challenges involving state-issued construction specifications.

DRBs, which, by definition, limit the Boards' authority on most public projects to issuing non-binding recommendations, as opposed to decisions, have nevertheless succeeded in avoiding substantial post-completion litigation on complex projects. A wealth of data has been assembled by the Dispute Resolution Board Foundation (DRBF) to measure the success of DRBs. According to the DRBF, DRBs have been used on more than 2,400 projects in the United States, with total project values exceeding US\$155 billion. The use of DRBs in the United States over the past 10 years has grown dramatically. More importantly, it is often reported that more disputes are avoided by ongoing interaction with the DRB than are actually heard.

DRBs are often referred to as real-time dispute avoidance or resolution. Hearings are typically conducted on the project shortly after the dispute arises and while the construction is ongoing. Relationships are preserved and construction delays are kept to a minimum. The North American experience has been that 58 per cent of the projects were dispute-free (ie, no disputes requiring hearings before the DRB) and 98.7 per cent of the projects were completed without resorting to traditional dispute resolution methods, such as arbitration or litigation.

Like other dispute resolution processes, some DRB participants walk away extolling its virtues, while others decry its failure. However, the data assembled by the DRBF indicate,

overall, that DRBs have been hugely successful and appear to be gaining in popularity and acceptance by the construction industry.

Law stated - 29 May 2024

Mediation

Has the practice of voluntary participation in professionally organised mediation gained acceptance and, if so, how prevalent is the practice and where are the mediators coming from? If not, why not?

Mediation in the United States is defined best as negotiations facilitated by a qualified and trained neutral (the mediator). It is a voluntary process that relies upon the good-faith commitment and desire of the parties to reach a settlement and the skill of the mediator in guiding the parties to that settlement. Crucial to the effectiveness of mediation is that it is a confidential process, which benefits from the application of legal principles of privilege that protect the parties from the disclosure of what is said during the process.

Mediation has become the most favoured alternative dispute resolution technique. The common perception is that 85 per cent of all disputes that are mediated settle during mediation, which explains the popularity of the process. As a result of that popularity, a significant number of specialised and trained construction-dispute mediators have emerged and are available to assist parties seeking to achieve a settlement of their disputes. The majority of mediators are experienced construction lawyers and other industry members.

Mediation is commonly sought, if not mandated by contract, as a pre-litigation or pre-arbitration process. However, even when the process is mandated, the mediator is not a fact-finder, has no authority to impose his or her views upon the parties and cannot dictate settlement terms. Thus, when some use the term 'binding mediation', it only means that the parties either are obliged to engage in mediation or are bound by the terms of the settlement mutually agreed to during the mediation, which typically is memorialised in a signed memorandum.

Law stated - 29 May 2024

Confidentiality in mediation

Are statements made in mediation confidential?

Mediation, by necessity, is a confidential process, as it encourages parties to be candid with each other and disclose information that the other party might not otherwise have found out. Thus, the law in most US jurisdictions provides that mediation is confidential and that statements made and documents exchanged in mediation, as well as admissions of fault or liability, may not be used in arbitration or a judicial proceeding. Nonetheless, parties to mediation are still well advised to enter into a written mediation agreement that clarifies the confidentiality of the process, particularly if they plan to exchange expert reports that support their position.

While neither a party nor a mediator can be compelled to testify in court or arbitration about a disclosure made in mediation, the adversary is free to seek and use the information, data

and testimony in arbitration or trial if it is obtained from other independent sources or if it was ordinarily obtainable as part of the binding dispute resolution process. The reason for this exception is to prevent a party from engaging in mediation as a tool to bar the admissibility of evidence that its adversary was likely to discover anyway.

Law stated - 29 May 2024

Arbitration of private disputes

What is the prevailing attitude towards arbitration of construction disputes? Is it preferred over litigation in the local courts?

Arbitration is certainly a frequently employed means for resolving construction disputes, but it is not necessarily preferred over in-court litigation. The preference of one process over the other will depend on the facts and circumstances of the dispute. Each procedure has its advantages and disadvantages, and it is important to understand these differences in choosing a particular forum.

One advantage of arbitration is the ability to select one or more arbitrators that are experienced in construction or construction law to decide the merits of the dispute. In traditional litigation, a judge cannot be chosen, and it is rare to get a judge (not to mention a juror) with construction experience, whose decision will then be based solely on a battle of the experts. Though arbitration is thought to be cheaper and faster, this is not always the case. It largely depends on the complexity of the dispute. For example, arbitrators are paid by the hour or day. Judges and juries are free. Both forums permit differing levels of pre-hearing or pretrial discovery procedures. In addition, it may be difficult to schedule arbitration hearing dates, as the competing schedules of the parties, their attorneys and perhaps three arbitrators must be accommodated, whereas the court simply dictates the trial dates. One often-touted advantage of litigation over arbitration is that the parties have the right to appeal unfavourable rulings, whereas an arbitration award can only be vacated by the courts where there is demonstrable fraud, partiality, mathematical mistake or if the award exceeds the arbitrator's authority, thereby making an arbitrator's decision virtually sacrosanct. However, some arbitration organisations, such as the American Arbitration Association (AAA), have issued optional appellate arbitration rules, allowing for a limited right of appeal within the arbitration process if the parties adopt those rules as part of their contractual dispute resolution process. The ultimate arbitration award, though, still must first be converted to a judgment by a court of competent jurisdiction before it can be legally enforced.

Notwithstanding, contractors generally favour arbitration because of its finality and because of their ability to plead their case to someone who understands construction, while many lawyers prefer litigation, as they perceive that there is greater control and more structure and because it provides a greater comfort zone.

Law stated - 29 May 2024

Governing law and arbitration providers

If a foreign contractor wanted to pursue work and insisted by contract upon international arbitration as the dispute resolution mechanism, which of the customary international arbitration providers is preferred and why?

The International Chamber of Commerce (ICC) and the International Centre for Dispute Resolution, which is part of the AAA, are probably the best known of the international tribunals for construction contract disputes in the US. If the project is performed in the United States, the foreign contractor should anticipate that US contractors will insist upon arbitration before the AAA pursuant to its Construction Industry Arbitration Rules and will often seek to have the law of a particular state apply to the dispute. This is particularly so if the contract form is derived from one of the familiar standard forms such as the AIA or ConsensusDocs that are generally well understood by US contractors and designed to reflect US legal principles.

The International Federation of Consulting Engineers (FIDIC) contract forms are widely used abroad but are rarely used in domestic projects. However, certain US investment banks, when funding work overseas, require FIDIC documents. Typically, a US contractor will often specify that the venue for any arbitration be in the United States to minimise the cost of the arbitration, as most or all of the necessary witnesses would be located in the United States and the project site would be more readily accessible for a site inspection if that were necessary.

Law stated - 29 May 2024

Dispute resolution with government entities

May government agencies participate in private arbitration and be bound by the arbitrators' award?

The concept of sovereign immunity applies equally to the arbitration of disputes as it does to suits in court, as arbitration cannot be commenced against a public entity unless that entity has agreed to arbitration as the procedure for the resolution of disputes. Claims against the federal government are generally brought pursuant to the Contract Disputes Act (CDA), which requires that claims be filed before the Civilian Board of Contract Appeals or the USCFC. However, under certain circumstances the federal government has agreed to arbitration as a means of resolving disputes arising under various treaties or under one of the many bilateral investment treaties between the United States and other sovereign nations. If an investor's rights under the treaty are violated, it may seek recourse against the United States by way of international arbitration. Such disputes are often resolved under the auspices of the International Centre for Settlement of Investment Disputes, rather than suing the host state in its own courts. Individual states and local governments in the United States are not subject to federal treaties and, thus, cannot be compelled to arbitrate unless there is a specific state statute that compels or permits arbitration. An example of such a statute is New Jersey's Local Public Contracts Law, which requires that all construction contracts with local governments provide that disputes arising under the contract shall be submitted to a method of alternative dispute resolution, such as mediation, binding arbitration or non-binding arbitration. If a state or the federal government has agreed to arbitrate a dispute, any arbitration award entered against them would be enforceable in the United States pursuant to either the Federal Arbitration Act or the analogous state arbitration act.

Arbitral award

Is there any basis upon which an arbitral award issued by a foreign or international tribunal may be rejected by your local courts?

The US is a signatory to the Convention on the Recognition and Enforcement of Foreign Arbitral Awards (the New York Convention), which has been incorporated into the Federal Arbitration Act. As such, a US court is obliged to honour and enforce foreign arbitration awards to the same degree, and in the same way, as other signatory countries. However, a US court will not enforce an arbitration award issued by a foreign tribunal where the award was voided by a court of the country under whose law the arbitration was brought, or if, upon a party's assertion, the US court finds that the arbitration award does not meet the standards set forth in article V of the New York Convention, such as for lack of capacity to arbitrate, lack of notice to a party, the issues were outside the scope of the arbitrator's authority or improper appointment of arbitrators.

Law stated - 29 May 2024

Limitation periods

Are there any statutory limitation periods within which lawsuits must be commenced for construction work or design services and are there any statutory preconditions for commencing or maintaining such proceedings?

There is generally no specific limitation period applicable solely to construction disputes; many different statutory limitation periods may apply. Which period applies depends on various factors, such as the nature of the legal claim (eg, tort or contract) and the party being sued. Further, there are no uniform limitation periods among all the states, but periods typically range from between two and six years from the accrual of the cause of action. Suits against public entities for breach of contract, such as against the federal government under the CDA, often have a very short limitation period of only one or two years. The consequence of failing to commence a lawsuit or arbitration within the applicable time frame will bar the party's claims.

If a party commences a lawsuit against a design professional for negligence or malpractice, some states also require that the party commencing the action file with the court an affidavit of merit either by, or supported by, an independent professional attesting to the merit of the claims asserted against the designer. The affidavit of merit must usually be filed within a specified number of days after the action is commenced or the designer files its answer. Failure to timely file an affidavit of merit as required will result in a dismissal of the lawsuit, which cannot be cured by refiling the action.

Law stated - 29 May 2024

ENVIRONMENTAL REGULATION

International environmental law

Is your jurisdiction party to the Stockholm Declaration of 1972? What are the local laws that provide for preservation of the environment and wildlife while advancing infrastructure and building projects?

The United States was a party to the Stockholm Declaration of 1972, but the action plan and common principles it provided were never incorporated into US legislation. Rather, the federal Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) was established to safeguard human health and conserve the natural environment. Today, there are extensive state and federal environmental laws affecting construction projects, although those most typically encountered are those addressing the traditional environmental media: water, soil and air.

Water is a major permitting concern for construction projects. Potential storm water run-off from the site could adversely affect water quality, and thus requires a project to meet either the requirements of the EPA construction general permit, state-specific general storm water permits or site-specific storm water permits. In addition, if work must be performed in wetlands or US waters, a Clean Water Act (CWA) section 404 permit is typically required. Recent federal court decisions have led to the development of discharge criteria for storm water at construction sites, as well as revisions to federal wetlands rules and guidance. The goal of the CWA is to protect and maintain the nation's waters by prohibiting the discharge of pollutants into those waters.

During a construction project, solid waste generation (hazardous and non-hazardous) is expected and is regulated by the federal Resource Conservation and Recovery Act and by various state statutes, which establish specific requirements for properly handling, storing, transporting and disposing of the waste. Further, air quality related to construction activities is regulated by the federal Clean Air Act and numerous analogous state statutes. These laws are designed to control the generation of particulate and ozone precursor emissions, such as dust, vehicle emissions and burning debris, and release of chlorofluorocarbons (CFCs) (these are contained in refrigerators, air conditioners and chiller units) or other ozone-depleting substances. Emissions from heavy equipment are now being regulated at both state and federal levels, with the recent federal stimulus bill providing funds for retrofitting and updating equipment.

There are also specific regulations applicable to asbestos and lead-based paint abatement in buildings being renovated or demolished.

When engaged in a project for a federal agency, a contractor may also be subject to certain constraints under the National Environmental Policy Act, which requires all federal agencies to prepare environmental impact statements assessing the environmental impact of, and alternatives to, construction and post-construction activities, including water quality impacts, wetlands impacts, air quality impacts, endangered species impacts pursuant to the Endangered Species Act and historic resources impacts.

Lastly, the construction industry has embraced green or sustainable building and development. Many states now have regulatory, permitting and financial incentives that encourage such development. Further, green initiatives and laws are being developed at the federal level that will affect federal projects, as well as non-federal construction.

Law stated - 29 May 2024

Local environmental responsibility

What duties and liability do local laws impose on developers and contractors for the creation of environmental hazards or violation of local environmental laws and regulations?

There are extensive state and federal environmental laws affecting construction projects, though those most typically encountered impose duties and liabilities involving the traditional environmental media: water, soil and air. The main federal statutes, which have comparable state statutes, are: the CWA, which protects and maintains the nation's waters by prohibiting the discharge of pollutants into those waters; the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act, which establishes specific requirements for properly handling, storing, transporting and disposing of hazardous and non-hazardous solid waste; and the Clean Air Act, which is designed to control the generation of particulate and ozone precursor emissions, such as dust, vehicle emissions and burning debris, and the release of CFCs or other ozone-depleting substances. In addition, the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act may impose liability on developers and contractors in certain circumstances for the cleanup of hazardous waste. Destruction and disturbance of freshwater wetlands also is a significant concern when improving undeveloped land, as they are protected at the federal level by regulations promulgated under the CWA and by specific statutes in various states. These statutes and regulations are all applicable to construction activities and provide very detailed and exacting obligations on developers and contractors in terms of permitting their construction activities. Violations of these statutes can result in an array of potential liabilities; from a simple fine ranging from a few hundred dollars to several thousands of dollars for each violation and for each day that the statute is violated, to on-site and off-site remediation.

Law stated - 29 May 2024

CROSS-BORDER ISSUES

International treaties

Is your jurisdiction a signatory to any investment agreements for the protection of investments of a foreign entity in construction and infrastructure projects? If so, how does your model agreement define 'investment'?

Although there are some restrictions on foreign investment by certain entities in various commercial areas (eg, atomic energy, certain communications services and activities deemed vital to national security), legally made foreign investments are protected as much as domestic investments. There is no federal statutory or regulatory scheme specifically addressing the protection of foreign investments directly related to construction or infrastructure projects, but the United States is a party to bilateral investment treaties and multilateral treaties, such as the United States—Mexico—Canada Agreement, which confirm the protection of foreign investments, including companies, shares, bonds, contractual rights, real and personal property, intellectual property, licences and other rights conferred by law.

Law stated - 29 May 2024

Tax treaties

Has your jurisdiction entered into double taxation treaties pursuant to which a contractor is prevented from being taxed in various jurisdictions?

The United States has bilateral income tax treaties with approximately 70 countries. Generally, these treaties do not prevent an individual or company, residing in a treaty jurisdiction, from being subject to US federal income tax on services performed domestically. The same holds true for a US company performing services in a treaty country.

Notwithstanding this, a contractor from a treaty jurisdiction may be exempt from federal taxes on its business profits if it does not have a permanent establishment (PE) in the United States. Typically, any kind of office or workshop will constitute a PE. If the contractor has no office or fixed place of business, and its only contact with the United States is a construction site of limited duration, treaty protection may be available, but many treaties provide that a building site or construction or installation project will not constitute a PE if it lasts for less than the period of time prescribed in the treaty.

If treaty protection is available, a foreign taxpayer is required to file a US tax return to claim the exemption. Significant penalties can be imposed for failure to file a treaty-based return in a timely manner. Nevertheless, while an exemption may be available from federal income taxes, state and local taxing jurisdictions in the United States are not bound by tax treaties and therefore may still impose a tax upon the contractor.

Law stated - 29 May 2024

Currency controls

Are there currency controls that make it difficult or impossible to change operating funds or profits from one currency to another?

No.

Law stated - 29 May 2024

Removal of revenues, profits and investment

Are there any controls or laws that restrict removal of revenues, profits or investments from your jurisdiction?

There are generally no restrictions on the removal of profits and investments from the United States. However, there are many reporting requirements relative to the transfer of money and other assets abroad pursuant to the US Patriot Act, other similar statutes and various implementing regulations and protocols established by domestic and international financial institutions. The purpose of these laws and regulations is to halt money laundering and the funding of terrorist groups and activities. If these activities are suspected, the bank may be obliged to freeze the account and the money could be seized by government authorities. Under most circumstances, though, with full disclosure and reporting, as required by the relevant financial institutions and governmental agencies, and payment of federal taxes, the overseas transfer of monies earned on a construction project would not present a problem.

UPDATE AND TRENDS

Emerging trends

Are there any emerging trends or hot topics in construction regulation in your jurisdiction?

Among the many trends influencing construction and infrastructure in the United States, perhaps the most significant in 2024 are the continued state political and administrative implementation phases following passage of the Biden administration's US\$1.2 trillion Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act (IIJA), adoption of measures to address the continuing impact of material shortages and price increases, the response (domestic and international) to US labour shortages which delay project implementation, and the ongoing war in Ukraine.

According to the latest data shared by the Biden administration on the implementation of the IIJA, almost 56,000 specific projects valued at US\$454 billion have been announced and/or started. These projects impact every single state and territory of the United States. Also, one of the most notable aspects of the IIJA is the Build America, Buy America Act (BABAA). Under the BABAA, starting as of 2024, 65 per cent of the cost of iron and steel components used on projects built or financed by the IIJA must be mined, produced or manufactured in the US. This requirement will increase to 75 per cent in 2029.

Another major investment programme currently taking shape is the 2022 CHIPS and Science Act. While the US government investment is US\$53 billion, according to the Biden administration, various companies have committed an additional US\$166 billion for projects involving semiconductors and electronics. A large portion of the funds will be spent on constructing facilities as well as appurtenant structures and necessary utilities, such as power and water supplies.

The approved spending plan in the IIJA covers many of the Biden administration's top priorities, such as new and improved roads, bridges and railways (the most significant share of the investment), the power grid, resiliency and climate initiatives, broadband (particularly in rural areas), public transit and airports, drinking water, and several billion dollars for additional projects. The IIJA earmarks moneys for certain specific projects and allocates other moneys among the states to be used in accordance with the goals of the legislation. During the political and regulatory implementation phases that follow passage of the IIJA that are currently under way at the federal and state levels, individual projects are being selected and prioritised and project delivery methods defined. These elements and requirements of the IIJA are highly relevant to contractors, such as encouraging the use of PPP as a procurement method, Buy America or similar requirements to promote opportunities for, and prioritising the use of, US resources, the use of unions and DBE businesses with a focus on those led by women and people of colour, and utilising project labour agreements.

The influx of financial assistance from the federal government is helpful to states to launch their construction initiatives and provides a strong incentive for foreign contractors to establish and expand operations in the United States. However, the US construction industry and its projects continue to be challenged by material shortages and price increases, labour

shortages, breakdowns in the supply chain and the inflationary effect of these issues. The industry has responded to mitigate these challenges by focusing on and developing new, less vulnerable, supply chains and material sources, with emphasis on domestic and regional supply chains, which have become more competitive and logistically secure. The war in Ukraine and the effect of the economic sanctions imposed on Russia by the United States and other countries continue to exacerbate the strain on material supplies, resulting in further delays and price increases for contractors. These conditions have resulted in an emphasis on drafting and negotiating clauses that spread the risk of unanticipated escalation between the parties in a way that is fair and appropriate, whether resulting from tariffs, the covid-19 pandemic, the war in Ukraine or other similar events, and minimise and mitigate the cost and time impact of the unanticipated events, regardless of who bears responsibility.

Law stated - 29 May 2024